## Introduction

If we knew the quantity  $y_0 = y(t_0)$  exactly, then we could have used the exact formula available for the concentration:

$$y(t) = y_0 e^{10(t-t_0)}.$$
 (1.8)

We, however, only know the approximate value  $y_0^* \approx y_0$  of the unknown quantity  $y_0$ . Therefore, instead of (1.8), the next best thing is to employ the approximate formula:

$$y^*(t) = y_0^* e^{10(t-t_0)}.$$
(1.9)

Clearly, the error  $y^* - y$  of the approximate formula (1.9) is given by:

$$y^*(t) - y(t) = (y_0^* - y_0)e^{10(t-t_0)}, \quad 0 \le t \le 1.$$

Assume now that we need to measure  $y_0^*$  to the accuracy  $\delta$ ,  $|y_0^* - y_0| < \delta$ , that would be sufficient to guarantee an initially prescribed tolerance  $\varepsilon$  for determining y(t) everywhere on the interval  $0 \le t \le 1$ , i.e., would guarantee the error estimate:

$$|\mathbf{y}^*(t) - \mathbf{y}(t)| < \varepsilon, \quad 0 \le t \le 1.$$

It is easy to see that  $\max_{0 \le t \le 1} |y^*(t) - y(t)| = |y^*(1) - y(1)| = |y_0^* - y_0|e^{10(1-t_0)}$ . This yields the following constraint that the accuracy  $\delta$  of measuring  $y_0$  must satisfy:

$$\delta \le \varepsilon e^{-10(1-t_0)}.\tag{1.10}$$

Let  $y_0$  be measured at the moment of time  $t_0 = 0$ . Then, inequality (1.10) would imply that this measurement has to be  $e^{10}$  times, i.e., thousands of times, more accurate than the required guaranteed accuracy of the result  $\varepsilon$ . In other words, the answer y(t) appears quite sensitive to the error in specifying the input data  $y_0$ , and the problem is ill conditioned.

On the other hand, if  $y_0$  were to be measured at  $t_0 = 1$ , then  $\delta = \varepsilon$ , and it would be sufficient to conduct the measurement with a considerably lower accuracy than the one required in the case of  $t_0 = 0$ . This problem is well conditioned.

## Exercises

- 1. Consider the problem of computing  $y(x) = \frac{1+x}{1-x}$  as a function of *x*, for  $x \in (1/2, 1)$  and also for  $x \in (-1, 0)$ . On which of the two intervals is this problem better conditioned with respect to the perturbations of *x*?
- Let y = √2 − 1. Equivalently, one can write y = (√2 + 1)<sup>-1</sup>. Which of the two formulae is more sensitive to the error when √2 is approximated by a finite decimal fraction?
   Hint. Compare absolute values of derivatives for the functions (x − 1) and (x + 1)<sup>-1</sup>.

## 1.3 Error

In any computational problem, one needs to find the solution given some appropriate input data. If the solution can be obtained with an ideal accuracy, then there is no

7