

A source of uncertainty in computed discontinuous flows

Michael Sever
Department of Mathematics
The Hebrew University
Jerusalem, Israel

$$\sum_{i=0}^m \left(\psi_{i,z_j}(z) \right)_{x_i} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

$$x \in \Omega \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad v(x) \text{ a.e. on } \partial\Omega \quad z(x) \in D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$$

Solution Set \mathcal{S}

Regularity $z \in C(\bar{\Omega}/\Gamma)^n$

Admissibility $\nabla \cdot q(z) \leq 0, \quad q_i(z) = z \cdot \psi_{i,z}^\dagger(z) - \psi_i(z), i = 1, \dots, m$

Recoverability $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{S})$
 $\mathbf{b} = \mathcal{B}(z) = (I - P)\psi_{v,z}^\dagger(z)$
 $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \ker P \quad \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}^{-1} \in C(\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{S})?$

Approximation scheme

$$\mathcal{A}_\delta \in C(\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}), \quad \delta > 0, \quad \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{G}$$

$$z_\delta(b) = \mathcal{A}_\delta(b) \in \mathcal{G}: \iint_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \psi_{i,z_j}(z_\delta) \theta_{j,x_i} \xrightarrow{\delta \downarrow 0} \int_{\partial\Omega} b \cdot \theta$$

$$\text{for all } \theta \in X = \{\phi \in (C^1(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega}))^n, P\phi \Big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}$$

Suppose $z_\delta(b) \xrightarrow{\delta \downarrow 0} z_0(b)$ strongly in \mathcal{G}

$$z_0(b) \in \mathcal{S}, \quad \mathcal{B}(z_0) = b$$

$$z_0(b) = \mathcal{A}(b) ?$$

$$\mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{A} = I \text{ on } \mathcal{D}$$

$$\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B} = I \text{ on } \mathcal{S} ?$$

Linear case

$$\sum_{i=1}^m V_i z_{x_i} = 0 \quad \psi_i(z) = q_i(z) = \frac{1}{2} z \cdot V_i z, i = 1, \dots, m$$

$$b = (I - P)V_\nu z$$

Conservation of Energy $\nabla \cdot q(z) = 0$

$$q(z) = \nabla \Phi(z) + \Psi(z)$$

$$\Delta \Phi = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \quad \nabla \cdot \Psi = 0 \text{ in } \Omega$$

$$v \cdot \nabla \Phi = q_\nu \text{ on } \partial\Omega \quad \Psi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

*Theorem: Assume P such that $PV_\nu P \geq 0$ a. e. on $\partial\Omega$
Then b uniquely determines $V_\nu z$ and Φ
(up to an additive constant) but not Ψ*

Assume \mathcal{A} Frechet differentiable

$$d\mathcal{A}(z): \mathcal{D}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(z)$$

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} b \cdot \theta = \iint_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \psi_{i,z_j}(z) \theta_{j,x_i}$$

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \dot{b} \cdot \theta = \iint_{\Omega \setminus \Gamma} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \psi_{i,z_j z_k}(z) \dot{z}_k \theta_{j,x_i} - \int_{\Gamma} (\hat{\mu} \cdot \dot{x}) \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n [\psi_{i,z_j}(z)] \theta_{j,x_i}$$

$$= \iint_{\Omega/\Gamma} \dot{z} \cdot R\theta + \int_{\Gamma} \sigma(S\theta)$$

$$\dot{b} \in \mathcal{D}', \quad \sigma = -\hat{\mu} \cdot \dot{x} \text{ a. e. on } \Gamma, \quad (\dot{z}, \sigma) \in \mathcal{S}'(z)$$

$$(R\theta)_k = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \psi_{i,z_j z_k}(z) \theta_{j,x_i}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n$$

$$(S\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n [\psi_{i,z_j}(z)] \theta_{j,x_i}$$

Existence and boundedness of (\dot{z}, σ)

$$\|\theta\|_{\partial\Omega} = \text{lub}_{\dot{b}} \frac{\int_{\partial\Omega} \dot{b} \cdot \theta}{\|\dot{b}\|_{\mathcal{D}'}} \quad \|\theta\| = \text{lub}_{(\dot{z}, \sigma)} \frac{\iint_{\Omega \setminus \Gamma} \dot{z} \cdot R\theta + \int_{\Gamma} \sigma(S\theta)}{\|(\dot{z}, \sigma)\|_{\mathcal{S}'(z)}}$$

$$\|(\dot{z}, \sigma)\|_{\mathcal{S}'(z)} \leq c_z \|\dot{b}\|_{\mathcal{D}'} \Leftrightarrow \|\theta\|_{\partial\Omega} \leq c_z \|\theta\|$$

requires $\ker P$ sufficiently small, and

$$\ker \psi_{v,zz}(z) \subseteq \text{range } P$$

Z completion of X in norm $\|\cdot\|$ (depends on $z \in \mathcal{S}$)

Theorem: Assume estimate (for $\|\theta\|_{\partial\Omega}$), that $\mathcal{D}' \subseteq (Z|_{\partial\Omega})^$, and that $\|\theta\|$ is Frechet differentiable.*

Then for any $\dot{b} \in \mathcal{D}'$, there exist $(\dot{z}, \sigma) \in (RZ)^ \times (SZ)^*$ satisfying*

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \dot{b} \cdot \theta = \iint_{\Omega \setminus \Gamma} \dot{z} \cdot R\theta + \int_{\Gamma} \sigma(S\theta) \quad \text{for all } \theta \in Z$$

Proof: for $\xi, \theta \in Z$,
$$d(\|\xi\|)\theta = \iint_{\Omega/\Gamma} \zeta(\xi) \cdot R\theta + \int_{\Gamma} \zeta_{\Gamma}(\xi)(S\theta)$$

For all $\theta \in Z$,
$$J_{\dot{b}}(c) = - \int_{2\Omega} \dot{b} \cdot \theta + \frac{1}{2}\|\theta\|^2 \geq -\frac{c_Z^2}{2} \|\dot{b}\|_{\mathcal{D}'}^2,$$

At any stationary point $\xi \in Z$ of $J_{\dot{b}}$,

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \dot{b} \cdot \theta = \|\xi\| d(\|\xi\|)\theta \text{ for all } \theta \in Z$$

$$\dot{z} = \|\xi\|\zeta(\xi), \quad \sigma = \|\xi\|\zeta_{\Gamma}(\xi)$$

Uniqueness of $(\dot{z}, \sigma) \in \mathcal{S}'(z)$

Identified with $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B} = I$ on \mathcal{S}

Uniqueness failure, nontrivial $(f, g) \in \mathcal{S}'(z), f \in (RZ)^, g \in (SZ)^*$*

$$\iint_{\Omega/\Gamma} f \cdot R\theta + \int_{\Gamma} g(S\theta) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \theta \in Z$$

Necessarily $R^\dagger f = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus \Gamma$, $(I - P)\psi_{v,zz}(z)f = 0$ a. e. on $\partial\Omega$

$$\psi_{v,zz}(z)f \Big|_{\partial\Omega} \subset \text{range } P$$

$$\Lambda = \text{span}\{(\dot{z}, \sigma), (\dot{b} \in \mathcal{D}')\}$$

$$\Lambda \subseteq \mathcal{S}'(z) \subseteq (RZ)^* \times (SZ)^*$$

Uniqueness of (\dot{z}, σ) in $(RZ)^ \times (SZ)^*$ implies*

$$Z = Z_{\Omega \setminus \Gamma} \oplus Z_{\Gamma}$$

$$SZ_{\Omega \setminus \Gamma} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma$$

$$RZ_{\Gamma} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega/\Gamma$$

$Z = Z_{\Omega \setminus \Gamma} \oplus Z_{\Gamma}$ requires $\ker P$ sufficiently large

Z_0 completion $(C^1(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega}))^n$, no boundary conditions

Assume $Z_0 = Z_{0, \Omega \setminus \Gamma} \oplus Z_{0, \Gamma}$, denote $Z_{0, \Omega} = Z_{0, \Omega \setminus \Gamma} \cap Z_{0, \Gamma}$

$$RZ_{0, \Omega} = SZ_{0, \Omega} = 0$$

Theorem: Assume P such that $\{PZ_{0, \Gamma}\} = \{PZ_{0, \Omega}\}$

$$\text{Then } Z = Z_{\Omega/\Gamma} \oplus Z_{\Gamma}$$

Investigation

Equivalent norms $\|\theta\|_\lambda$, $\lambda = 1, 2$

Same Z , $(RZ)^*$, $(SZ)^*$, Λ , \mathcal{S}'

But $d(\|\theta\|_1) \neq d(\|\theta\|_2)$, $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$ minimizing $-\int_{\partial\Omega} \dot{b} \cdot \theta + \frac{1}{2}\|\theta\|_\lambda^2$

will get (\dot{z}_1, σ_1) and (\dot{z}_2, σ_2)

If (\dot{z}, σ) is unique within Λ , $(\dot{z}_1, \sigma_1) = (\dot{z}_2, \sigma_2)$

If $(\dot{z}_1, \sigma_1) \neq (\dot{z}_2, \sigma_2)$ $f = \dot{z}_1 - \dot{z}_2$ $g = \sigma_1 - \sigma_2$

Example: $\|\theta\|_\lambda^2 = \iint_{\Omega \setminus \Gamma} w |R\theta|^2 + \lambda \int_{\Gamma} w_\Gamma (S\theta)^2$ $w(x), w_\Gamma(x) \geq 1$

$\|(\dot{z}, \sigma)\|_{\mathcal{S}'}^2 = \iint_{\Omega \setminus \Gamma} \frac{1}{w} |\dot{z}|^2 + \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{w_\Gamma} \sigma^2$ $(RZ)^* = wRZ$, $(SZ)^* = w_\Gamma SZ$

$\dot{z}_\lambda = wR\xi_\lambda$, $\sigma_\lambda = \lambda w_\Gamma S\xi_\lambda$

Theorem: Suppose $\dot{z}_1 = \dot{z}_2$ or $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$ for each $\dot{b} \in \mathcal{D}'$

Then both hold and $Z_{\Omega \setminus \Gamma}, Z_\Gamma$ are sufficiently large that

$$\Lambda \subseteq wRZ_{\Omega \setminus \Gamma} \times w_\Gamma SZ_\Gamma$$

$\dot{z} \in wRZ_{\Omega \setminus \Gamma}, \sigma \in w_\Gamma SZ_\Gamma$ for any $\dot{b} \in \mathcal{D}'$

Computation

Convergence $z_\delta \rightarrow z_0$ suggests boundary data not over – specified,
ker P sufficiently small $\|\theta\|_{\partial\Omega} \leq c_z \|\theta\|$, for suitable $w, w_\Gamma, \|\cdot\|_{\partial\Omega}$

Find $w, w_\Gamma, \|\cdot\|_{\partial\Omega}$ such that $J(Z) \geq -\frac{1}{2}c_z^2, J(\theta) = -\|\theta\|_{\partial\Omega} + \frac{1}{2}\|\theta\|^2$,

$\|\theta\|_{\partial\Omega}$ determines $\|\dot{b}\|_{\mathcal{D}'}$, for example $\|\theta\|_{\partial\Omega} = \|Q\theta\|_{L_2(\partial\Omega)}$
implies $\|\dot{b}\|_{\mathcal{D}'} = \|Q^{-1}\dot{b}\|_{L_2(\partial\Omega)}$

Can be easy, $w = w_\Gamma = 1, Q = I$, depending on z_0

Then for any $\dot{b} \in \mathcal{D}'$, $J_{\dot{b}\lambda}(Z) \geq -c_{\dot{b}}, J_{\dot{b}\lambda}(\theta) = -\int_{\partial\Omega} \dot{b} \cdot \theta + \frac{1}{2}\|\theta\|_\lambda^2$

$\xi_{\dot{b}\lambda}$ minimizing $J_{\dot{b}\lambda}$ over Z satisfies

$$\int_{2\Omega} \dot{b} \cdot \theta = \iint_{\Omega \setminus \Gamma} w R \xi_{\dot{b}\lambda} \cdot R \theta + \lambda \int_{\Gamma} w_\Gamma (S \xi_{\dot{b}\lambda})(S \theta)$$

for all $\theta \in Z$. Suffices to determine if

$$R(\xi_{\dot{b}_1} - \xi_{\dot{b}_2}) = 0$$

Suffices to discretize four terms

$$\int_{2\Omega} \dot{b} \cdot \theta, \quad \|\theta\|_{\partial\Omega}, \quad \iint_{\Omega \setminus \Gamma} w |R\theta|^2, \quad \int_{\Gamma} w_{\Gamma} (S\theta)^2$$

Have $z_{\delta} \xrightarrow{\delta \downarrow 0} z_0$

$$(R_{\delta}\theta)_k = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \psi_{i,z_j z_k}(z_{\delta}) \theta_{j,x_i}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \text{ throughout } \Omega$$

Need $w_{\delta} \xrightarrow{\delta \downarrow 0} w$ so that

$$\left\| w_{\delta}^{1/2} R_{\delta}\theta - w^{1/2} R\theta \right\|_{L_2(\Omega)} \xrightarrow{\delta \downarrow 0} 0 \text{ for any } \theta \in (W^{1,\infty}(\Omega))^n$$

Don't have Γ ; $[\psi_{i,z_j}(z_{\delta})]$ undefined

Have "transition regions" Γ_{ε} of size $O(\varepsilon)$

$\varepsilon = o(1)$ as $\delta \downarrow 0$

z_{δ} "well behaved" outside of Γ_{ε}

Crude approximation of $S\theta$ suffices

$$S_{\varepsilon\delta} = S_{\varepsilon}(z_{\delta}) \in C \left((W^{1,\infty}(\Omega))^n \rightarrow (L_{\infty}(\Omega))^m \right)$$

$$S_{\varepsilon\delta}(\theta)_l(x) \approx \varepsilon^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\psi_{i,z_j} \left(z_{\delta} \left(x + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \hat{x}_l \right) \right) - \psi_{i,z_j} \left(z_{\delta} \left(x - \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \hat{x}_l \right) \right) \right) \theta_{j,x_i}(x)$$

throughout Ω $l = 1, \dots, m$

*Need δ sufficiently small, depending on ε , and $w_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}}$
such that for any $\theta \in (W^{1,\infty}(\Omega))^n$*

$$\iint_{\Omega} w_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}} |S_{\varepsilon\delta}\theta - S_{\varepsilon 0}\theta|^2 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon, \delta \downarrow 0} 0$$

and

$$\iint_{\Omega \setminus \Gamma_{\varepsilon}} w_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}} |S_{\varepsilon\delta}\theta|^2 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon, \delta \downarrow 0} 0$$

Then approximate $J, J_{\dot{b} \cdot \lambda}$ by

$$J_{\varepsilon\delta}(\theta) = -\|\theta\|_{\partial\Omega} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} w_{\delta} |R_{\delta}\theta|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} w_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}} |S_{\varepsilon\delta}\theta|^2$$

$$J_{\dot{b}\varepsilon\delta\lambda}(\theta) = - \int_{\partial\Omega} \dot{b} \cdot \theta + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} w_{\delta} |R_{\delta}\theta|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega} w_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}} |S_{\varepsilon\delta}\theta|^2, \lambda = 1, 2$$

for $\theta \in X_{\delta}$: nested, finite – dimensional subspace of $(W^{1,\infty}(\Omega))^n$,
becoming dense in X as $\delta \downarrow 0$, $PX_{\delta} \Big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$

X_{δ} does **not** have to be the test space associated with z_{δ} .

Seek $w_\delta, w_{\Gamma_\varepsilon}, \|\cdot\|_{\partial\Omega}, X_\delta, \delta(\varepsilon)$ to "verify"

$$(*) \text{ glb } J_{\varepsilon\delta}(X_\delta) \geq -c \\ \varepsilon, \delta \downarrow 0$$

Theorem: Assume () and $w_{\Gamma_\varepsilon}, w_\Gamma (\geq 1)$ such that for any $\theta \in X$*

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \iint_{\Omega} w_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} |S_{\varepsilon 0} \theta|^2 \leq c \int_{\Gamma} w_\Gamma (S\theta)^2$$

Then

$$(**) \quad J(X) \geq -c$$

*Theorem: Assume (**) and $w_{\Gamma_\varepsilon}, w_\Gamma$ such that for any $\theta \in X$*

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \iint_{\Omega} w_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} |S_{\varepsilon 0} \theta|^2 \geq \frac{1}{c} \int_{\Gamma} w_\Gamma (S\theta)^2$$

Then for any $\theta \in X$

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon, \delta \downarrow 0} J_{\varepsilon\delta}(\theta) \geq -c$$

with a constant independent of θ , but don't get ()*

$\|\cdot\|_{\partial\Omega}$ determines $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}'}$. If (*) holds, then for any $\dot{b} \in \mathcal{D}'$

$$J_{\dot{b}\varepsilon\delta\lambda}(X_\delta) \geq -c_{\dot{b}}$$

$\xi_{\dot{b}\varepsilon\delta\lambda} \in X_\delta$ minimizing $J_{\dot{b}\varepsilon\delta\lambda}$ over X_δ satisfies

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \dot{b} \cdot \theta_\delta = \iint_{\Omega} w_\delta R_\delta \xi_{\dot{b}\varepsilon\delta\lambda} \cdot R_\delta \theta_\delta + \lambda \iint_{\Omega} w_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} S_{\varepsilon\delta} \xi_{\dot{b}\varepsilon\delta\lambda} \cdot S_{\varepsilon\delta} \theta_\delta \text{ for all } \theta_\delta \in X_\delta$$

Theorem: Assume that any sequence $\phi_\delta \in X_\delta$ satisfying $\iint_{\Omega} w_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} |S_{\varepsilon\delta} \phi_\delta|^2 \leq c$ contains a subsequence with a (weak) limit $\phi_0 \in Z$ such that for any $\theta \in X$

$$\iint_{\Omega} w_{\Gamma_\varepsilon} S_{\varepsilon\delta} \phi_\delta \cdot S_{\varepsilon\delta} \theta \xrightarrow{\varepsilon, \delta \downarrow 0} \int_{\Gamma} w_{\Gamma} (S\phi_0)(S\theta)$$

Then $w_\delta^{1/2} R_\delta (\xi_{\dot{b}\varepsilon\delta 1} - \xi_{\dot{b}\varepsilon\delta 2}) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon, \delta \downarrow 0} 0$ weakly in $(L_2(\Omega))^n$

implies $R\xi_{\dot{b}1} = R\xi_{\dot{b}2}$

$(1 - \Delta)^{-1} w_\delta^{1/2} R_\delta (\xi_{\dot{b}\varepsilon\delta 1} - \xi_{\dot{b}\varepsilon\delta 2}) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon, \delta \downarrow 0} 0$ in $(L_2(\Omega))^n$ suffices